arXiv:1602.06119v1 [math.CA] 19 Feb 2016

About a theorem of Wiener on the Bessel-Kingman Hypergroup

Lukas Innig
lukas.innig@googlemail.com

February 22, 2016

A theorem of Wiener on the circle group was strengthened and extended by Fournier in [2] to locally compact abelian groups and extended further to the Bessel-Kingman hypergroup with parameter $\alpha = 1/2$ by Bloom/Fournier/Leinert in [1]. We further extend this theorem to Bessel-Kingman hypergroups with parameter $\alpha > 1/2$.

1 The Bessel-Kingman Hypergroup

In this paper we will prove a theorem of *Fournier* (4The Bessel-Kingman Hypergroup-pro.4) on the Bessel-Kingman Hypergroup with parameter $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We will use the proof in [1] for the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ as a guideline, which will be altered where necessary. 4The Bessel-Kingman Hypergrouppro.4 is based upon a theorem of *Wiener* but treats a more general case. Following [3] and [1] we define:

Definition 1:
The Bessel-Kingman Hypergroup with parameter α is defined as $K = (\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$, where

$$\varepsilon_x *_\alpha \varepsilon_y(f) = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} K_\alpha(x,y,z) f(z) z^{2\alpha+1}\, dz$$

with

$$K_\alpha(x,y,z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(\alpha+1/2)2^{2\alpha-1}} \frac{\left[(z^2-(x-y)^2)\left((x+y)^2-z^2\right)\right]^{\alpha-1/2}}{(xyz)^{2\alpha}}$$

and identity involution ($x^- = x$). The Haar measure $\omega_\alpha(dz)$ is of the form $\omega_\alpha(dz) = z^{2\alpha+1}dz$. The characters are given by $\chi_\lambda(x) \coloneqq j_\alpha(\lambda x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ where j_α denotes the modified Bessel function of order α:

$$j_\alpha(x) \coloneqq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2^{2k} k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+1)} x^{2k}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One has $\chi_0 \equiv 1$. Furthermore K is a Pontryagin hypergroup. In fact $K \cong K^\wedge$, where the isomorphism is given by $\lambda \mapsto \chi_\lambda$. We note that $(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ is commutative because $K(x,y,z) = K(y,x,z)$ and $\int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \cdots = \int_{|y-x|}^{y+x} \cdots$.

As a convention, we denote

- $I_n := [n-1, n)$,
- $C_\Gamma := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(\alpha+1/2)2^{2\alpha-1}}$,
- $\omega_n := \omega_\alpha(I_n)$.

Furthermore let $\alpha \geq 1/2$. The case $-1/2 < \alpha < 1/2$ will not be treated.

Definition 2:
For a hypergroup $(\mathbb{R}_+, *)$ with Haar measure ω, the *discrete amalgam norm* is given by

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \left(\frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{I_n} |f|^p \, d\omega \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q}.$$

In the case p or q equal to ∞ we set by convention

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\infty,q} &:= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \sup_{x \in I_n} |f(x)|^q \right)^{1/q}, \\
\|f\|_{p,\infty} &:= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{I_n} |f|^p \, d\omega \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \\
\|f\|_{\infty,\infty} &:= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sup_{x \in I_n} |f(x)| \right) = \|f\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The function spaces $\{f \text{ measurable} \mid \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\}$ will be denoted as $(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *)$. For these spaces the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{p_1,q} &\leq \|f\|_{p_2,q}, && \text{if } p_1 \leq p_2. \\
\|f\|_{p,q_1} &\leq C\|f\|_{p,q_2}, && \text{if } q_1 \geq q_2.
\end{aligned}$$

particularly, it holds for $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $q_1 \geq q_2$

$$(L^{p_2}, \ell^{q_2})(\mathbb{R}_+, *) \subset (L^{p_1}, \ell^{q_1})(\mathbb{R}_+, *)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *) &\subset L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, *) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, *) && \text{for } p \geq q, \\
L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, *) \cup L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, *) &\subset (L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *) && \text{for } p \leq q.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3:
Because we are situated on a hypergroup, we can also form amalgam spaces by shifting the unit interval I_1 using the left-translation τ_y defined as

$$\tau_y f(x) = f(y *_\alpha x) = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} K_\alpha(x,y,z) f(z) z^{2\alpha+1}\, dz\ .$$

For the Bessel-Kingman hypergroup $(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ the *continuous* (p,∞)*-amalgam norm* is given by

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1)}\, d\omega \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now we are able to state our more general version of the theorem of Fournier [2, Theorem 3.1]. The proof of this theorem is the main part of this paper. As said before it is closely related to a theorem of Wiener which implies that an integrable function with non-negative Fourier transform on the unit circle which is square integrable on a unit neighborhood is already square integrable on the whole circle. In [2] this theorem was extended to $\mathbb{R}$ and to LCA-Groups.

Theorem 4 (Theorem of Fournier):
For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ with $\hat{f} \geq 0$ the following statements are equivalent:

i) f is square integrable on a neighborhood of 0.

ii) $\hat{f} \in (L^1, \ell^2)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$.

iii) $f \in (L^2, \ell^\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$.

To prove this we follow [1]. So we have to check the following properties of $(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ with $\alpha \geq 1/2$.

Equivalence of the discrete and the continuous amalgam norms. We show that the norms defined in 2The Bessel-Kingman Hypergrouppro.2 and 3The Bessel-Kingman Hypergrouppro.3 are equivalent.

Uniform boundedness of the translation operator on $(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$. We show that

$$\|\tau_y f\|_{p,q} \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{p,q}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

with a constant C independent of y. For this we will first prove the uniform boundedness on (L^∞, ℓ^1). Then by invoking duality and interpolation arguments we get the general case.

Properties of the convolution. For the convolution a generalized version of the Young inequality on amalgams must hold:

Theorem 5 (Young inequality on amalgams):
For $f_1 \in (L^{p_1}, \ell^{q_1})$ and $f_2 \in (L^{p_2}, \ell^{q_2})$, where

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{q_1}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{p_2}, \frac{1}{q_2}\right) - (1,1)$$

we have $f_1 * f_2 \in (L^p, \ell^q)$ and

$$\|f_1 * f_2\|_{p,q} \leq C \cdot \|f_1\|_{p_1,q_1} \cdot \|f_2\|_{p_2,q_2}.$$

Properties of the Fourier transformation. For the Fourier transform of a function f we need a more generalized form of the Hausdorff-Young theorem:

Theorem 6 (Hausdorff-Young theorem on amalgams):
For $f \in (L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ it holds, that $\hat{f} \in (L^{q'}, \ell^{p'})(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$.

Here too, we will consider the special cases $(p,q) \in \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}$. The result then follows with interpolation arguments.

1.1 Equivalence of the discrete and the continuous amalgam norms

Proposition 7:
We have

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} \leq C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p}. \tag{1.20}$$

Proof: Let us concentrate on the term $\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$:

$$\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) = \frac{C_\Gamma}{(xy)^{2\alpha}} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(z) z \left[\left(z^2 - (x-y)^2\right) \left((x+y)^2 - z^2\right) \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} \, dz.$$

Like in [1], it will be sufficient to look at the supremum in (1.20) taken only over all y of the form $y = n + 1/2$, $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We therefore consider $\tau_{n+1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x)$ for $x \in I_{n+1}$. The domain of the integration is

$$\begin{aligned} [|x-y|, x+y] \cap [0,1] &= [|x-n-1/2|, x+n+1/2] \cap [0,1] \\ &= [|x-n-1/2|, 1] \supset [1/2, 1] \qquad \text{for } x \in I_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By using $x^{2\alpha} = x \cdot (x^2)^{\alpha - 1/2}$ together with $|x - n - 1/2| \leq 1/2$ we get

$$\frac{C_\Gamma}{(n+1/2)^{2\alpha} x^{2\alpha}} \int_{|x-n-1/2|}^{1} z \left[\left(z^2 - (x-n-1/2)^2 \right) \left((x+n+1/2)^2 - z^2 \right) \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} \, dz$$

$$\geq \frac{C_\Gamma}{(n+1/2)^{2\alpha}} \frac{1}{x} \int_{1/2}^{1} z \left[\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \underbrace{\left(\frac{(x^2 + 2x(n+1/2) + (n+1/2)^2 - z^2}{x^2} \right)}_{=(*)} \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} dz.$$

$(*) = 1 + \dfrac{2(n+1/2)}{x} + \dfrac{(n+1/2)^2 - z^2}{x^2}$ and $\dfrac{1}{x}$ are decreasing in x.

Therefore it follows that $\tau_{n+1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \geq \tau_{n+1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(n+1) \ \forall x \in I_{n+1}$. Further we will show that

$$\tau_{n+1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(n+1) \geq C \cdot \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha+1}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{n+1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(n+1) \\
&\quad = \frac{C_\Gamma}{((n+1)(n+1/2))^{2\alpha}} \\
&\qquad \cdot \int_{1/2}^{1} z \left[\left(z^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left(\left(2n + \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 - z^2 \right) \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} dz \\
&\text{(sort by powers of } n\text{)} \\
&\quad = \frac{C_\Gamma}{((n+1)(n+1/2))^{2\alpha}} \\
&\qquad \cdot \int_{1/2}^{1} z \left[n^2 (4z^2 - 1) + n \left(6z^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{10}{4} z^2 - z^4 - \frac{9}{16} \right) \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} dz \\
&\text{(place } n^2 \text{ outside the brackets)} \\
&\quad = \frac{C_\Gamma \cdot n^{2\alpha - 1}}{((n+1)(n+1/2))^{2\alpha}} \\
&\qquad \cdot \int_{1/2}^{1} z \left[(4z^2 - 1) + n^{-1} \left(6z^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) + n^{-2} \left(\frac{10}{4} z^2 - z^4 - \frac{9}{16} \right) \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} dz \\
&\quad \geq \frac{C_\Gamma \cdot n^{2\alpha - 1}}{((n+1)(n+1/2))^{2\alpha}} \underbrace{\int_{1/2}^{1} z (4z^2 - 1)^{\alpha - 1/2} \, dz}_{\text{constant}} = C' \cdot \frac{n^{2\alpha - 1}}{((n+1)(n+1/2))^{2\alpha}} \\
&\quad \geq C' \cdot \frac{n^{2\alpha - 1}}{((n+n)(n+n))^{2\alpha}} = C' \cdot \frac{n^{2\alpha - 1}}{(4n^2)^{2\alpha}} = C \cdot \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Above we used that both $f_1(z) \coloneqq \left(6z^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right)$ and $f_2(z) \coloneqq \left(\frac{10}{4} z^2 - z^4 - \frac{9}{16} \right)$ are greater than 0 on the interval $[1/2, 1]$.



Figure 1: $f_2(z)$

$$f_1(z) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow 6z^2 - \frac{3}{2} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow z^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \Leftrightarrow |z| \geq \frac{1}{2}$$
$$f_2(z) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{10}{4}z^2 - z^4 - \frac{9}{16} \geq 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow -\left(z^2 - \frac{5}{4}\right)^2 + 1 \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow 1 \geq |z^2 - \frac{5}{4}|$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{3}{2} \geq |z| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Further we have

$$\omega_{n+1} = \int_n^{n+1} z^{2\alpha+1}\, dz \geq n^{2\alpha+1}.$$

So

$$\tau_{n+1/2}\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(n+1) \geq C \cdot \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha+1}}$$
$$\geq C \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_{n+1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Now we can summarize.

$$\sup_{y\in[1,\infty)} \left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \geq \sup_{n\geq 1} \left(\int_{n-1/2}^{n+3/2} |f|^p \tau_{n+1/2}\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\geq \sup_{n\geq 1} \left(\int_{I_{n+1}} |f|^p \tau_{n+1/2}\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\geq \sup_{n\geq 1} \left(\tau_{n+1/2}\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(n+1) \int_{I_{n+1}} |f|^p\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\geq \sup_{n\geq 1} \left(C\frac{1}{\omega_{n+1}} \int_{I_{n+1}} |f|^p\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p},$$

moreover for $x, y \in I_1$ it obviously holds that $\sup_{y\in I_1} \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \geq \tau_0 \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) = 1$. So

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \geq C \cdot \|f\|_{p,\infty}. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 8:
It holds that

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} \geq C \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\int |f| \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p}.$$

PROOF: i) Let $y \in [0,1)$. Then one has

$$\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \begin{cases} = 1, & \text{if } x + y \leq 1, \\ \leq 1, & \text{if } |x-y| \leq 1 \text{ and } x + y \geq 1, \\ = 0, & \text{if } |x-y| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x * y) = \varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_y(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]})$. Therefore if $\operatorname{supp}(\varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_y) = [|x-y|, x+y] \subset [0,1]$, then $\varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_y(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}) = 1$ because $\varepsilon_x * \varepsilon_y \in M^1(K)$.
It follows now that $\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0,2)}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \, d\omega_\alpha &\leq \int |f|^p \mathbf{1}_{[0,1)} \, d\omega_\alpha + \int |f|^p \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)} \, d\omega_\alpha \\ &\leq \int \frac{1}{\omega_1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1)} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha + 2^{2\alpha+1} \int \frac{1}{\omega_2} \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

because $\omega_n = \int_{n-1}^n z^{2\alpha+1} \, dz \leq n^{2\alpha+1}$, hence $1 \leq \frac{n^{2\alpha+1}}{\omega_n}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{1}{\omega_1} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p} + 2^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{p}} \left(\int_{I_2} \frac{1}{\omega_2} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (1 + 2^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{p}}) \|f\|_{p,\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

.

ii) If $y \in [1,2)$ then it follows, analogously to the calculation above, that $\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0,3)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \, d\omega_\alpha &\leq \int |f|^p \mathbf{1}_{[0,1)} \, d\omega_\alpha + \int |f|^p \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)} \, d\omega_\alpha + \int |f|^p \mathbf{1}_{[2,3)} \, d\omega_\alpha \\ &\leq \int \frac{1}{\omega_1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1)} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha + 2^{2\alpha+1} \int \frac{1}{\omega_2} \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha \\ &\quad + 3^{2\alpha+1} \int \frac{1}{\omega_3} \mathbf{1}_{[2,3)} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p} &\le \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{1}{\omega_1}|f|^p\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + 2^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{p}}\left(\int_{I_2} \frac{1}{\omega_2}|f|^p\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + 3^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{p}}\left(\int_{I_3} \frac{1}{\omega_3}|f|^p\, d\omega_\alpha\right)^{1/p} \\ &\le (1 + 2^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{p}} + 3^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{p}})\|f\|_{p,\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

iii) Let $k \ge 2$. We consider $y \in I_{k+1} = [k, k+1]$
$\Rightarrow \operatorname{supp} \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} = [y-1, y+1] \subset [k-1, k+2]$.
Now it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) &= \frac{C_\Gamma}{x^{2\alpha} y^{2\alpha}} \int_{|x-y|}^1 z\left[(z^2 - (x-y)^2)((x+y)^2 - z^2)\right]^{\alpha - 1/2}\, dz \\ &= \frac{C_\Gamma}{xy} \int_{|x-y|}^1 z\Big[\underbrace{(z^2 - (x-y)^2)}_{\le 1} \underbrace{\left(\frac{(x+y)^2 - z^2}{x^2 y^2}\right)}_{\le \frac{(x+y)^2-(x-y)^2}{x^2y^2} = \frac{4}{xy}}\Big]^{\alpha-1/2} dz \\ &\le \frac{C_\Gamma}{xy} \int_{|x-y|}^1 z \left(\frac{4}{xy}\right)^{\alpha - 1/2}\, dz \le \frac{C_\Gamma \cdot 4^{\alpha-1/2}}{(xy)^{\alpha+1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to $1 - |x-y| \le 1$ and the standard estimate. By using $k-1 \le x < k+2$ and $k \le y < k+1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\le \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2}}{(k-1)^{\alpha+1/2} k^{\alpha+1/2}} \le \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{\alpha+1/2}}{k^{\alpha+1/2}k^{\alpha+1/2}} \\ &\le \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2} 2^{\alpha+1/2}}{k^{\alpha+1/2}k^{\alpha+1/2}} \le \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2} 2^{\alpha+1/2}}{k^{2\alpha+1}} \\ &= C \cdot \frac{1}{k^{2\alpha+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\omega_{k+2} = \int_{k+1}^{k+2} z^{2\alpha+1}\, dz \le (k+2)^{2\alpha+1} \le 2^{2\alpha+1} k^{2\alpha+1}.$$

Hence we get

$$\tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \le \frac{C'}{\omega_{k+2}} \le \frac{C'}{\omega_{k+1}} \le \frac{C'}{\omega_k} \quad \text{for } y \in I_k,\ k \ge 2.$$

Altogether we obtain

$$\int_{I_j} |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}\, d\omega_\alpha \le C' \cdot \int_{I_j} \frac{1}{\omega_j} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha \quad (j = k, k+1, k+2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\int |f|^p \tau_y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}\, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \le C'^{1/p} \cdot \sum_{j=k}^{k+2} \left(\int_{I_j} \frac{1}{\omega_j} |f|^p \, d\omega_\alpha \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le 3 \cdot C'^{1/p} \cdot \|f\|_{p,\infty} \qquad \square$$

Choosing C'' as the maximum of the constants in i) - iii) concludes the proof.

1.2 Uniform boundedness of the translation operator on $(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$

Proposition 9 (The case $(L^\infty, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$):
For $f \in (L^\infty, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}, *_\alpha)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ it holds that

$$\|\tau_y f\|_{\infty,1} \le C \cdot \|f\|_{\infty,1}$$

with a constant C independent of y.

Proof: Like in [1] it is enough to consider only functions $f_n := \mathbf{1}_{I_n} = \mathbf{1}_{[n-1,n)}$. That means we show only that

$$\|\tau_y f_n\|_{\infty,1} \le C \cdot \|f_n\|_{\infty,1} = C \cdot \omega_n$$

with C independent of n and y. For the sake of completeness, we repeat the proof for the correctness of our constraint.
Let $c_n := \|P_n f\|_\infty$ (P_n denotes the restriction to the interval I_n) and let $g = \sum_n c_n f_n$.

$$\|\tau_y f\|_{\infty,1} \le \|\tau_y g\|_{\infty,1} \le \sum_n c_n \|\tau_y f_n\|_{\infty,1}$$

$$\le \sum_n c_n C \|f_n\|_{\infty,1} = C \|f\|_{\infty,1}.$$

Fix y and n. We denote a $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as *exceptional* if $k = 1$ or if there exists $x \in I_k$ so that $|x - y|$ or $x + y$ lies in I_n. The set of all exceptional indices will be denoted as E. An index $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ which is not exceptional will be called *generic*. $G := \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus E$.
For $k \in G$ the intersection of $[|x - y|, x + y]$ and I_n is either empty or all of I_n for all $x \in I_k$. Then $\tau_y f_n$ either vanishes on all of I_k or is in the form of

$$\tau_y f_n(x) = \frac{C_\Gamma}{(xy)^{2\alpha}} \int_{n-1}^{n} z \left[\left(z^2 - (x-y)^2 \right) \left((x+y)^2 - z^2 \right) \right]^{\alpha - 1/2} \, dz, \quad \text{for } x \in I_k.$$

So it is easy to see that we can claim the following statements about $x \in \{\tau_y f_n > 0\}$ if $x \in I_k$ and k generic.

$$\begin{aligned} & |x-y| < n-1 < n \le x+y \\ \Rightarrow \quad & x-y < n-1 \ \text{ and } \ y-x < n-1 \ \text{ and } \ n \le x+y \\ \Rightarrow \quad & x < n+y-1 \ \text{ and } \ y-n+1 < x \ \text{ and } \ n-y \le x. \end{aligned}$$

Taken together it holds that $\operatorname{supp} \tau_y f_n \cap (\bigcup_{k\in G} I_k) \subset [\max\{0, y-n+1, n-y\}, n+y-1]$. Equating the two terms ($\neq 0$) of the lower bound yields $y = n - 1/2$. Thus we can distinguish two cases:

i) $y \le n - 1/2 \Rightarrow n - y \le x < n + y - 1$

ii) $y \ge n - 1/2 \Rightarrow y - n + 1 < x < n + y - 1$.

Using $\omega_k \le k^{2\alpha+1}$ and
$\frac{1}{x^{2\alpha}} \le \frac{1}{(k-1)^{2\alpha}} \le \frac{\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{2\alpha}}{k^{2\alpha}} \le C \cdot \frac{1}{k^{2\alpha}}$ we get for $x \in I_k$, $k \ge 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\omega_n} \sum_{k\in G} \omega_k \|P_k(\tau_y f_n)\|_\infty \\ & = C_\Gamma \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n} \sup_{x\in I_k} \int_{n-1}^{n} \frac{z}{(xy)^{2\alpha}} \left[\left(z^2 - (x-y)^2\right)\left((x+y)^2 - z^2\right)\right]^{\alpha-1/2} \, dz \\ & \le C' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{k^{2\alpha+1}}{\omega_n y^{2\alpha} k^{2\alpha}} \sup_{x\in I_k} \int_{n-1}^{n} z \left[\left(z^2 - (x-y)^2\right)\left((x+y)^2 - z^2\right)\right]^{\alpha-1/2} \, dz \\ & \le C' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{k}{\omega_n y^{2\alpha}} \sup_{x\in I_k} \int_{n-1}^{n} z \big[\underbrace{(z-x+y)(z+x-y)(x+y-z)(x+y+z)}_{=:\circledast} \big]^{\alpha-1/2} \, dz. \end{aligned} \tag{$*$}$$

We consider n and y as in the first case and substitute, according to the sign, z by n resp. $n-1$ and x by $n-y$ resp. $n+y-1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (*) & \le C' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{k}{\omega_n y^{2\alpha}} \sup_{x\in I_k} n \big[(n-n+y+y)(n+n+y-1-y) \\ & \qquad (n+y-1-n+1+y)(n+y-1+y+n)\big]^{\alpha-1/2} \\ & \le C' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{k}{\omega_n y^{2\alpha}} n \left[2y(2n-1)2y(2n+2n-2)\right]^{\alpha-1/2} \qquad \text{(because } 2y \le 2n-1\text{)} \\ & \le C'' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{kny^{2\alpha-1}n^{2\alpha-1}}{n^{2\alpha+1}y^{2\alpha}} = C'' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{k}{ny} \\ & \le C'' \cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{2}{y} \le 4C''. \end{aligned}$$

In the last row it was used that $k \le n+y-1 \le 2n$ and that the sum consists of fewer than $2y$ terms: $n+y-1-(n-y) = 2y-1$.
Now let y and n be as in the second case. Then we can estimate $\circledast$ analogously.

$$\begin{aligned}
\circledast &\le \big[(n-y+n-1+y)(n+n+y-1-y) \\
&\qquad\qquad (n+y-1+y-n+1)(n+y-1+y+n)\big]^{\alpha-1/2} \\
&\le [(2n-1)(2n-1)2y(2y+2y)]^{\alpha-1/2} \qquad \text{(because } 2n-1\le 2y\text{)} \\
&\le C\cdot n^{2\alpha-1}y^{2\alpha-1} \\
\Rightarrow (*) &\le C''\cdot \sum_{k\in G} \frac{kn^{2\alpha}y^{2\alpha-1}}{y^{2\alpha}n^{2\alpha+1}} = C''\cdot \sum_{k\in G}\frac{k}{ny} \\
&\le C''\cdot \sum_{k\in G}\frac{2}{n} \le 4C''.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the first case we used here that $k \le n+y-1 \le 2y$ and that the sum consists of fewer than $n+y-1-(y-n+1) = 2n-2$ terms.

If k is now an exceptional index, we have to estimate $\omega_k \|P_k(\tau_y f_n)\|_\infty$. For exceptional indices we have by definition either $x+y \in I_n$ for $x \in I_k$, i.e. $y+I_k$ intersects the interval I_n, or $I_n - y$ intersects I_k. There are at most two such indices $k \in E$. The other cases of exceptional indices derive from the cases where $I_n + y$ or $y - I_n$ intersect the interval I_k, or where $k = 1$. Each of these cases, with the exception of $k = 1$, yields at most 2 exceptional indices. Thus there are at most 7. By looking closer one can see that there are in fact only 5 of them.

If $k \le 3n$ then one has, with the use of

$$\tau_y f_n(x) = \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} f_n(x)K(x,y,z)\,\omega_\alpha(dz) \le \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} K(x,y,z)\,\omega_\alpha(dz) = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{3n} &= \int_{3n-1}^{3n} z^{2\alpha+1}\,dz = \frac{1}{2\alpha+2}\left((3n)^{2\alpha+2} - (3n-1)^{2\alpha+2}\right) \\
&= \frac{3^{2\alpha+2}}{2\alpha+2}\left(n^{2\alpha+2} - (n-\frac{1}{3})^{2\alpha+2}\right) \\
&\le \frac{3^{2\alpha+2}}{2\alpha+2}\left(n^{2\alpha+2} - (n-1)^{2\alpha+2}\right) = 3^{2\alpha+2}\int_{n-1}^{n} z^{2\alpha+1}\,dz \\
&= 3^{2\alpha+2}\omega_n\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

the following estimate:

$$\omega_k \|P_k(\tau_y f_n)\|_\infty \le \omega_k \le \omega_{3n} \le 3^{2\alpha+2}\omega_n = 3^{2\alpha+2}\|f_n\|_{\infty,1}. \tag{1.70}$$

If k is exceptional and $k > 3n$, then one of the intervals $y \pm I_n$ must intersect I_k. The smallest value for y must therefore satisfy $y + n = k - 1$. That implies that

$$y + \frac{1}{3}k > k - 1 \Rightarrow y > \frac{2}{3}k - 1 > \frac{1}{3}k, \tag{1.71}$$

because $k > 3$. Particularly we have $y > \frac{1}{3}x$ for all $x \in I_k$ in these cases. Now we can find an upper bound for the remaining exceptional indices.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_y f_n(x) &= \frac{C_\Gamma}{(xy)^{2\alpha}} \int_{|x-y|}^{n} z\Big[\underbrace{\left(z^2 - (x-y)^2\right)}_{\leq n^2-(x-y)^2}\underbrace{\left((x+y)^2 - z^2\right)}_{\leq (x+y)^2-|x-y|^2=4xy}\Big]^{\alpha-1/2} dz \\
&\leq \frac{C_\Gamma}{(xy)^{2\alpha}} \underbrace{(n - |x-y|)}_{\leq 1} \cdot n \cdot \left[\left(n^2 - (x-y)^2\right) 4xy\right]^{\alpha-1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2}}{(xy)^{\alpha+1/2}} n\Big[\underbrace{n^2 - (x-y)^2}_{\leq n^2-(n-1)^2=2n-1}\Big]^{\alpha-1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2}}{(\frac{1}{3}x * x)^{\alpha+1/2}} n \underbrace{(2n-1)}_{\leq 2n}{}^{\alpha-1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{C_\Gamma 4^{\alpha-1/2} 3^{\alpha+1/2}}{x^{2\alpha+1}} 2^{\alpha-1/2} n^{\alpha+1/2} \\
&\leq C \frac{n^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(k-1)^{2\alpha+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can estimate the remaining terms of the norm.

$$\omega_k \|P_k(\tau_y f_n)\|_\infty \leq C \cdot \frac{k^{2\alpha+1} n^{\alpha+1/2}}{(k-1)^{2\alpha+1}} \leq C \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{2\alpha+1} \cdot n^{\alpha+1/2} \leq C' \cdot \omega_n = C' \cdot \|f_n\|_{\infty,1} \qquad \square$$

Using duality and complex interpolation as in [1], the boundedness of translation on $(L^\infty, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ can be extended to the general amalgam spaces $(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$.

1.3 Fourier transformation on $(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$

We have yet to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 10 (Hausdorff-Young theorem for amalgams):
For $f \in (L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ holds, that $\hat{f} \in (L^{q'}, \ell^{p'})(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$.

The special case $p = q = 2$ is already known (see Theorem 2.2.22 in [3]). We will consider the other extreme cases $p, q \in \{1, 2\}$ first. Therefore we will have to show that

$\|\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}\|_{\infty,2} < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{1}_{I_1}(x)\chi_\lambda(x)\, d\omega_\alpha(x) \\
&= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2^{2k} k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+1)} (\lambda x)^{2k}\, d\omega_\alpha(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha+1)\lambda^{2k}}{2^{2k} k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+1)} \int_0^1 x^{2k} x^{2\alpha+1}\, dx \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha+1)\lambda^{2k}}{2^{2k} k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+1)} \frac{1}{2k+2\alpha+2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha+1)\lambda^{2k}}{2^{2k+1} k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+2)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)2^\alpha}{\lambda^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \lambda^{2k+\alpha+1}}{2^{2k+\alpha+1} k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+2)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)2^\alpha}{\lambda^{\alpha+1}} J_{\alpha+1}(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $J_{\alpha+1}$ denotes the Bessel function of degree $\alpha+1$. According to 9.2.1 in [4] it holds for $\lambda \to \infty$ that

$$J_{\alpha+1}(\lambda) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\lambda}} \cos(\lambda - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)\pi - \tfrac{1}{4}\pi).$$

Altogether for large enough λ we have

$$\left|\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(\lambda)\right| \approx \left| \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)2^\alpha}{\lambda^{\alpha+1}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\lambda}} \cos(\lambda - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)\pi - \tfrac{1}{4}\pi) \right| \lessapprox C \cdot \lambda^{-\alpha-3/2}.$$

Now we can show that $\|\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}\|_{\infty,q} < \infty \Leftrightarrow q > 2\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+3/2}$. Particularly for $q \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}\|_{\infty,q} &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k \sup_{\lambda \in I_k} |\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(\lambda)|^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq C \cdot \left(C' + \sum_{k=N_\alpha}^{\infty} k^{2\alpha+1} k^{q(-\alpha-3/2)} \right)^{1/q} \\
&= C'' + C \cdot \left(\sum_{k=N_\alpha}^{\infty} k^{2\alpha+1-q(\alpha+3/2)} \right)^{1/q},
\end{aligned}$$

where N_α and C be chosen such that $\left|\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(\lambda)\right| \leq C \cdot \lambda^{-\alpha-3/2}$ for $\lambda \geq N_\alpha$. The series converges iff $2\alpha + 1 - q(\alpha + 3/2) < -1 \Leftrightarrow q > 2\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+3/2}$. One can easily see that $\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{I_1}}$ does not lie in $(L^p, \ell^q)(\mathbb{R}_+, *_\alpha)$ if $q \leq 2\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+3/2}$ and for all p. Now we proceed again as in

[1]. Let $g_1 = \frac{1}{\omega_1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{I_1} *_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{[0,2]}$ and $g_n = \frac{1}{\omega_1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{I_1} *_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{[n-2,n+1]}$ for $n \geq 2$. We show that $g_n(x) = 1 \; \forall x \in I_n$. For this let $x \in I_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{1}_{I_1}(y) \tau_x \mathbf{1}_{[0,2]}(y) \, d\omega_\alpha(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_0^1 \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \mathbf{1}_{[0,2]}(z) K(x,y,z) \, d\omega_\alpha(z) d\omega_\alpha(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_0^1 \int_{[|x-y|,x+y] \cap [0,2]} K(x,y,z) \, d\omega_\alpha(z) d\omega_\alpha(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_0^1 1 \, d\omega_\alpha(y) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

because for $x, y \in [0,1] \Rightarrow [|x-y|, x+y] \subset [0,2] \Rightarrow [|x-y|, x+y] \cap [0,2] = [|x-y|, x+y]$ and thus the inner integral yields the value 1. Entirely analog it holds for $x \in I_n$, that

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{1}_{I_1}(y) \tau_x \mathbf{1}_{[n-2,n+1]}(y) \, d\omega_\alpha(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_0^1 \int_{[|x-y|,x+y] \cap [n-2,n+1]} K(x,y,z) \, d\omega_\alpha(z) d\omega_\alpha(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1} \int_0^1 1 \, d\omega_\alpha(y) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

because for $x \in [n-1, n]$ and $y \in [0,1] \Rightarrow [|x-y|, x+y] \subset [n-2, n+1] \Rightarrow [|x-y|, x+y] \cap [n-2, n+1] = [|x-y|, x+y]$.

The rest of the proof runs exactly as in [1], with 3 replaced by $\frac{1}{\omega_1}$ and $*_{1/2}$ replaced by $*_\alpha$. Note that Young's inequality can be obtained as in [1] as well. $\square$

2 Equivalence on compact/discrete hypergroups

As an addendum, if K is a compact or discrete Hypergroup, let us note the equivalence of the continuous amalgam norm (here denoted as $\|\cdot\|^*_{p,\infty}$) with the discrete amalgam norm.
We first describe the discrete case. The discrete amalgam norm on a discrete hypergroup is defined as one would expect as

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{p,q} &= \left(\sum_{k\in K}\omega(\{k\})\left(\frac{1}{\omega(\{k\})}|f(k)|^p\omega(\{k\})\right)^{q/p}\right)^{1/q}\\ &= \left(\sum_{k\in K}\omega(\{k\})|f(k)|^q\right)^{1/q} = \|f\|_q.\end{aligned}$$

For the equivalence of the norms we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^*_{p,\infty} &= \sup_{n\in K}\left(\sum_{k\in K}\tau_n\mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(k)|f(k)|^p\omega(\{k\})\right)^{1/p}\\ &= \sup_{n\in K}\left(\sum_{k\in K}\mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(n*k)|f(k)|^p\omega(\{k\})\right)^{1/p}\end{aligned}$$

so, using $0\in\operatorname{supp}\varepsilon_n*\varepsilon_k \Leftrightarrow k=n^-$, we get

$$= \sup_{n\in K}\left(\varepsilon_{n^-}*\varepsilon_n(\{0\})|f(n)|^p\omega(\{n\})\right)^{1/p}$$

and finally, with Theorem 1.3.26 in [3]: $\omega(\{n\})=\varepsilon_{n^-}*\varepsilon_n(\{0\})^{-1}$, we have

$$= \sup_{n\in K}|f(n)| = \|f\|_\infty.$$

On the other hand, in the compact case, the discrete amalgam norm shrinks to

$$\left(\omega(K)\left(\frac{1}{\omega(K)}\int_K|f|^p\,d\omega\right)^{q/p}\right)^{1/q} = \left(\int_K|f|^p\,d\omega\right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_p.$$

The equivalence now follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^*_{p,\infty} &= \sup_{y\in K}\left(\int\tau_y\mathbf{1}_K|f|^p\,d\omega\right)^{1/p}\\ &= \sup_{y\in K}\left(\int\int_K\mathbf{1}_K\,d(\varepsilon_y*\varepsilon_x)|f|^p(x)\,\omega(dx)\right)^{1/p}\\ &= \sup_{y\in K}\left(\int|f|^p\,d\omega\right)^{1/p} = \left(\int|f|^p\,d\omega\right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_p.\end{aligned}$$

So, in both cases, we obtained not only equivalence, but equality of norms.

References

[1] Walter R. Bloom, John F. Fournier und Michael Leinert, *Wiener's theorem on hypergroups* (2014), preprint.

[2] Fournier, John J. F., *Local and global properties of functions and their Fourier transforms*, Tôhoku Math. J. 49 (1997), 115 - 131.

[3] Walter R. Bloom, Herbert Heyer, *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 20, de Gruyter, Berlin/New York (1995).

[4] Milton Abramowitz, Irene A Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off. (1964).

[5] Michael Leinert, *On a Theorem of Wiener*, Manuscripta Math. 110, 1–12 (2003).

[6] R. P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, (1964).